BakerHostetler

February 2, 2016

Baker&Hostetler LLP

PNC Center 1900 East 9th Street, Suite 3200 Cleveland, OH 44114-3482

T 216.621.0200 F 216.696.0740 www.bakerlaw.com

James H. Rollinson direct dial: 216.861.7075 jrollinson@bakerlaw.com

VIA ECF AND ELECTRONIC MAIL TO bernstein.chambers@nysb.uscourts.gov

Honorable Stuart M. Bernstein United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York One Bowling Green, Room 723 New York, New York 10004-1408

Re: Securities Investor Corporation v. Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB) — Picard v. Shapiro, et al., Adv. Pro. No. 10-05383 (SMB)

Dear Judge Bernstein:

We are counsel to the parties in the above-referenced adversary proceeding. We write to you regarding the submission of a revised proposed order to be entered by this Court in connection with the Memorandum Decision Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Trustee's Second Amended Complaint (Liquidation Proceeding, ECF No. 12130).

During a conference recently held in your chambers on the proposed order, you suggested that the parties submit a new proposed order wherein your rulings as to Counts II through VII of the Second Amended Complaint would be collectively addressed in two paragraphs. We enclose herewith for the Court's consideration a proposed order which adopts that suggestion.¹

However, counsel for Defendants believes the language of the order, partially quoted in the footnote below, *could* be viewed as ambiguous. In Counts II through VII, Plaintiff seeks to avoid transfers during various periods (*i.e.*, Count II seeks to avoid "two-year" transfers, Counts

-

¹ Specifically, Paragraph No. 3 of the enclosed order reads: "As to **Count II** through **VII**, the Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED** with respect to: (a) S&R and Stanley Shapiro and Renee Shapiro, individually and as general partners of S&R, with respect to all transfers they received in connection with Account Nos. 103009, 103039, 103058, 103069, 1SH014 (formerly 103013), and 1SH172..."

08-01789-cgm Doc 12584 Filed 02/02/16 Entered 02/02/16 16:47:19 Main Document Pg 2 of 2

Honorable Stuart M. Bernstein February 2, 2016 Page 2

III through VI seek to avoid "six-year" transfers, Count VII seeks to avoid "life-time" transfers), and therefore Defendants' counsel believes those counts should be addressed in separate paragraphs as opposed to two consolidated ones. We enclose herewith an alternative proposed order for Court's consideration which addresses the Court's rulings as to Count II, Counts III through VI, and Count VII in separate paragraphs.

While Defendants' counsel prefers that the Court enter the second of the proposed orders for the reasons described above, Plaintiff's counsel is amenable to entry of either of the proposed orders. The undersigned are available to address any questions or concerns that the Court may have regarding the enclosed alternative proposed orders.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

By: /s/ James H. Rollinson

PNC Center

1900 E. 9thh Street, Suite 3200

Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone: 216.861.7075 Facsimile: 216.696.0740 James H. Rollinson

Email: jrollinson@bakerlaw.com

and

45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111

Telephone: 212.589.4200 Facsimile: 212.589.4201

David J. Sheehan

Email: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com Fernando A. Bohorquez, Jr.

Email: fbohorquez@bakerlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Respectfully submitted,

LAX & NEVILLE LLP

By: /s/ Barry R. Lax

1450 Broadway, 35th Floor New York, New York 10018 Telephone: 212.696.1999 Facsimile: 212.566.4531

Barry R. Lax

Email: blax@laxneville.com

Brian J. Neville

Email: bneville@laxneville.com

Attorneys for Defendants

² For example, Plaintiff seeks to avoid transfers made in connection with Account No. 103009 only in Count VII since all of the alleged transfers occurred more than six years prior to the Filing Date. The proposed order *could* be viewed to suggest that Plaintiff seeks to avoid these transfers in Counts II through VI as well.